

APPLICATION PROGRESS REPORT

Project Alpha
Anonymized Applicant

October 2025 — February 2026 | 4 Analyses
22 Guidance Variables | ADOPTIC Framework



+32


Points Gained

18

Variables Improved

0

Variables Declined



2.73

Combined Avg Score

Contents

Executive Summary	3
Guidance Variables	4
Improvements	5
Unchanged, Declines & Volatility	6
At a Glance	7

Executive Summary

This report tracks the evolution of the project’s application across four analyses, assessed against the 22 key guidance variables of the ADOPTIC framework. These variables span five factors—Desirability, Adoptability, Feasibility, Viability, and Psychosocial readiness—and represent the core dimensions that determine innovation adoption success.



Key Findings

- 18 of 22 guidance variables showed net improvement — only 0 declined.
- 3 variables achieved maximum score of 5 in the combined analysis.
- Overall guidance score moved from 1.27 to 2.73 per variable (Combined analysis).
- Net gain of +32 points across 22 variables (max possible: 110).
- 0 variables remained at zero — clear areas for targeted development.
- 4 variables held steady at positive scores, confirming consistent strengths.

Guidance Variables

DESIRABILITY

- D1. Customer, User, Beneficiaries
- D2. Value Proposition Resonance
- D3. Sub-niche Targeting

ADOPTABILITY

- A1. First-hand Experience
- A2. Context-of-Use Validation
- A3. Customer Relationships

FEASIBILITY

- F1. Third Party Dependency
- F2. Partnership Planning
- F3. Technical Dependencies
- F4. Technical Feasibility






VIABILITY

- V1. Project Champions
- V2. Resource Commitment
- V3. Essential Resources
- V4. Skills & Capabilities
- V5. Assumption Awareness
- V6. Communication Skills
- V7. Strategic Dependency

PSYCHOSOCIAL

- P1. Definition of Success
- P2. Clear Roles & Responsibilities
- P3. Partner Alignment
- P4. Supporting Initiative
- P5. Relationship Building

Oct Jan Feb Comb



Improvements

18 of 22 guidance variables showed net improvement across submissions

Variable	Oct	Jan	Feb	Comb	Net
V1 Project Champions	3	4	5	5	+2
V7 Strategic Dependency	3	4	5	5	+2
P2 Clear Roles & Responsibilities	3	4	5	5	+2
P4 Supporting Initiative	2	3	4	4	+2
D1 Customer, User, Beneficiaries	0	1	2	3	+3
F2 Partnership Planning	0	1	2	3	+3
A3 Customer Relationships	1	1	2	3	+2
F1 Third Party Dependency	1	2	3	3	+2
V5 Assumption Awareness	1	2	3	3	+2
P1 Definition of Success	1	2	3	3	+2
A1 First-hand Experience	2	3	3	3	+1
F3 Technical Dependencies	2	3	3	3	+1
V3 Essential Resources	2	2	2	3	+1
V6 Communication Skills	0	1	2	2	+2
P5 Relationship Building	0	1	1	2	+2
D2 Value Proposition Resonance	1	2	2	2	+1
V4 Skills & Capabilities	0	0	1	1	+1
P3 Partner Alignment	0	0	1	1	+1


Unchanged, Declines & Volatility

Variables that held steady, lost ground, or showed inconsistent scoring


Consistent Strengths (4)

Variable	Oct	Jan	Feb	Comb	Net
D3 Sub-niche Targeting	2	2	2	2	0
F4 Technical Feasibility	2	2	2	2	0
A2 Context-of-Use Validation	1	1	1	1	0
V2 Resource Commitment	1	1	1	1	0

At a Glance



Score Distribution Shift



The trajectory is clear and positive.

Each successive analysis demonstrates meaningful, measurable progress across the ADOPTIC guidance variables.